

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

EDMUNDO SANCHEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS, et al.,

Respondents

Case No. C15-419-MJP-BAT

**REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

Edmundo Sanchez is proceeding *pro se* in this habeas action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. By order dated March 30, 2015, Mr. Sanchez was granted 30 days to either pay the \$5.00 filing fee or submit a completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”). Dkt. 3. Mr. Sanchez was advised that failure to respond to the order by April 30, 2015, could result in dismissal of the case. *Id.* To date, Mr. Sanchez has neither paid the filing fee nor submitted a completed application for IFP status. Accordingly, the Court recommends that this action be **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

This Report and Recommendation is not an appealable order. Therefore a notice of appeal seeking review in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit should not be filed until the assigned District Judge enters a judgment in the case. Objections, however, may be filed and

1 served upon all parties no later than **May 22, 2015**. The Clerk should note the matter for **May**
2 **22, 2015**, as ready for the District Judge's consideration if no objection is filed. If objections are
3 filed, any response is due within 14 days after being served with the objections. A party filing an
4 objection must note the matter for the Court's consideration 14 days from the date the objection
5 is filed and served. The matter will then be ready for the Court's consideration on the date the
6 response is due. Objections and responses shall not exceed five pages. The failure to timely
7 object may affect the right to appeal.

8 DATED this 4th day of May, 2015.

9 

10 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23